

Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms on Kähler foliations

Seoung Dal Jung

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold M . We study the properties of infinitesimal automorphisms on $(M, \mathcal{F})$, and in particular we concentrate on the transversal conformal field, transversal projective field and transversally holomorphic field.

1 Introduction

Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q . A transversal infinitesimal automorphism on M is an infinitesimal automorphism which preserves the leaves. A transversal infinitesimal automorphism is said to be a transversal Killing field, a transversal conformal field or a transversal projective field if it generates a one parameter family of a transversal infinitesimal isometric, a transversal infinitesimal conformal or a transversal infinitesimal projective transformation, respectively. Such geometric objects give some important information about the leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}$. There are several results about infinitesimal automorphisms on Riemannian foliations [5,6,7,9,10,11]. Recently, M. J. Jung and S. D. Jung [5] studied the properties of transversal infinitesimal automorphisms on a compact foliated Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$.

In this paper, we investigate the properties of transversal infinitesimal automorphisms on Kähler foliations. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the basic facts on Riemannian foliations. In Section 3, we review the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C12, 53C55

Key words and phrases. transversal Killing field, transversal conformal field, transversal Jacobi field, transversally holomorphic field

well-known facts about infinitesimal automorphisms on Riemannian foliations. In Section 4, we prove that, on Kähler foliations, every transversal conformal (or projective) field is a transversal affine field (Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5). In particular, if the transversal scalar curvature is a non-zero constant, every transversal conformal field is a transversal Killing field (Theorem 4.3). In addition, every transversal projective field satisfying some condition is a transversal Killing field (Theorem 4.6). Note that on ordinary manifolds, any affine field is a Killing field, but on Riemannian foliations, a transversal affine field is not necessarily a transversal Killing field [5]. In Section 5, we study transversally holomorphic fields and give a vanishing theorem without making the assumption that all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are minimal.

2 Preliminaries

Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a $(p+q)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q and a bundle-like metric g_M with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ [16]. Let ∇^M be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g_M . Let TM be the tangent bundle of M , L its integrable subbundle given by $\mathcal{F}$, and $Q = TM/L$ the corresponding normal bundle. Then there exists an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow TM \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\pi} Q \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\sigma : Q \rightarrow L^\perp$ is a bundle map satisfying $\pi \circ \sigma = id$. Let g_Q be the holonomy invariant metric on Q induced by $g_M = g_L + g_{L^\perp}$; that is,

$$g_Q(s, t) = g_M(\sigma(s), \sigma(t)) \quad \forall s, t \in \Gamma Q. \quad (2.2)$$

This means that $\theta(X)g_Q = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma L$, where $\theta(X)$ is the transverse Lie derivative. So we have an identification $L^\perp$ with Q via an isometric splitting $(Q, g_Q) \cong (L^\perp, g_{L^\perp})$. A transversal Levi-Civita connection ∇ in Q is defined [5]

by

$$\nabla_X s = \begin{cases} \pi([X, Y_s]) & \forall X \in \Gamma L \\ \pi(\nabla_X^M Y_s) & \forall X \in \Gamma L^\perp, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $s \in \Gamma Q$ and $Y_s = \sigma(s) \in \Gamma L^\perp$ corresponding to s under the canonical isomorphism $Q \cong L^\perp$. The curvature R^∇ of ∇ is defined by $R^\nabla(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$. Since $i(X)R^\nabla = 0$ for any $X \in \Gamma L$ [5], we can define the transversal Ricci operator $\rho^\nabla : \Gamma Q \rightarrow \Gamma Q$ by

$$\rho^\nabla(s_x) = \sum_{a=p+1}^n R^\nabla(s, E_a) E_a, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\{E_a\}_{a=p+1, \dots, n}$ is an orthonormal basic frame of Q . And then the transversal Ricci curvature Ric^∇ is given by $\text{Ric}^\nabla(s_1, s_2) = g_Q(\rho^\nabla(s_1), s_2)$ for any $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma Q$. The transversal scalar curvature σ^∇ is given by $\sigma^\nabla = \text{Tr} \rho^\nabla$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be (transversally) *Einsteinian* if the model space is Einsteinian, that is,

$$\rho^\nabla = \frac{1}{q} \sigma^\nabla \cdot \text{id} \quad (2.5)$$

with constant transversal scalar curvature σ^∇ . The *mean curvature vector* $\kappa^\sharp$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$\kappa^\sharp = \pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{E_i}^M E_i \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\{E_i\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of L . The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be *minimal* if $\kappa^\sharp = 0$. A differential form $\omega \in \Omega^r(M)$ is *basic* if $i(X)\omega = 0$ and $\theta(X)\omega = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma L$. Let $\Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$ be the set of all basic r -forms on M . Then $\Omega^r(M) = \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})^\perp$ [1]. It is well-known that the mean curvature form κ_B is closed, i.e., $d\kappa_B = 0$, where κ_B is the basic part of κ . The *basic Laplacian* acting on $\Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by

$$\Delta_B = d_B \delta_B + \delta_B d_B, \quad (2.7)$$

where δ_B is the formal adjoint of $d_B = d|_{\Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})}$ [1,3]. Let $\{E_a\}(a = 1, \dots, q)$ be a local orthonormal basis of Q . We define $\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} : \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} = - \sum_a \nabla_{E_a, E_a}^2 + \nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\nabla_{X,Y}^2 = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_{\nabla_X^M Y}$ for any $X, Y \in TM$. The operator $\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}}$ is positive definite and formally self adjoint on the space of basic forms [3]. We define the bundle map $A_Y : \Lambda^r Q^* \rightarrow \Lambda^r Q^*$ for any $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ [9] by

$$A_Y \phi = \theta(Y) \phi - \nabla_Y \phi, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\theta(Y)$ is the transverse Lie derivative. Then it is proved [6] that, for any vector field $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$,

$$A_Y s = -\nabla_{Y_s} \bar{Y}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $Y_s = \sigma(s) \in \Gamma TM$. So A_Y depends only on $\bar{Y} = \pi(Y)$ and is a linear operator. Since $\theta(X) \phi = \nabla_X \phi$ for any $X \in \Gamma L$, A_Y preserves the basic forms and depends only on $\bar{Y}$. Then we have the generalized Weitzenböck formula.

Theorem 2.1 [3] *On a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$, we have*

$$\Delta_B \phi = \nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} \phi + F(\phi) + A_{\kappa_B^\sharp} \phi, \quad \phi \in \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}), \quad (2.11)$$

where $F(\phi) = \sum_{a,b} \theta^a \wedge i(E_b) R^\nabla(E_b, E_a) \phi$. If ϕ is a basic 1-form, then $F(\phi)^\sharp = \rho^\nabla(\phi^\sharp)$.

From Theorem 2.1, we have the following. For any $\phi \in \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B |\phi|^2 = \langle \Delta_B \phi, \phi \rangle - |\nabla_{\text{tr}} \phi|^2 - \langle F(\phi), \phi \rangle - \langle A_{\kappa_B^\sharp} \phi, \phi \rangle. \quad (2.12)$$

Now, we recall the following generalized maximum principle.

Lemma 2.2 [7] *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation on a closed, oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . If $(\Delta_B - \kappa_B^\sharp) f \geq 0$ (or ≤ 0) for any basic function f , then f is constant.*

Let $V(\mathcal{F})$ be the space of all vector fields Y on M satisfying $[Y, Z] \in \Gamma L$ for all $Z \in \Gamma L$. An element of $V(\mathcal{F})$ is called an *infinitesimal automorphism* of $\mathcal{F}$ [10].

Let

$$\bar{V}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\bar{Y} = \pi(Y) | Y \in V(\mathcal{F})\}. \quad (2.13)$$

It is trivial that an element s of $\bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $\nabla_X s = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma L$ [9]. Hence $\bar{V}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \Omega_B^1(\mathcal{F})$.

3 Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms

If $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $\theta(Y)g_Q = 0$, then $\bar{Y}$ is called a *transversal Killing field* of $\mathcal{F}$. If $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $\theta(Y)g_Q = 2f_Y g_Q$ for a basic function f_Y depending on Y , then $\bar{Y}$ is called a *transversal conformal field* of $\mathcal{F}$. Equivalently, for any $X, Z \in V(\mathcal{F})$

$$g_Q(\nabla_X \bar{Y}, Z) + g_Q(X, \nabla_Z \bar{Y}) = 2f_Y g_Q(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}). \quad (3.1)$$

In this case, we have

$$f_Y = \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{div}_{\nabla} \bar{Y}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\operatorname{div}_{\nabla} \bar{Y}$ is the transversal divergence of $\bar{Y}$. A transversal conformal field $\bar{Y}$ is *homothetic* if f_Y is constant. For any vector fields $Y, Z \in V(\mathcal{F})$ and $X \in \Gamma Q$, we have [5]

$$(\theta(Y)\nabla)(Z, X) = R^{\nabla}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})X + \nabla_{\bar{Z}}\nabla_X \bar{Y} - \nabla_{\nabla_Z X} \bar{Y}. \quad (3.3)$$

If $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $\theta(Y)\nabla = 0$, then $\bar{Y}$ is called a *transversal affine field* of $\mathcal{F}$.

If $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies

$$(\theta(Y)\nabla)(X, Z) = \alpha_Y(X)Z + \alpha_Y(Z)X \quad (3.4)$$

for any $X, Z \in \Gamma Q$, where α_Y is a basic 1-form on M , then $\bar{Y}$ is called a *transversal projective field* of $\mathcal{F}$; in this case, it is trivial that

$$\alpha_Y = \frac{1}{q+1} d_B \operatorname{div}_{\nabla} \bar{Y}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\{E_a\}_{a=1,\dots,q}$ be a local orthonormal basic frame in Q such that $(\nabla E_a)_x$ for $x \in M$. From now on, all the computations in this paper will be made in such charts. For any $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$, from (3.3), we have

$$(\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(E_a, E_b)E_c = (\nabla_a \theta(Y)\nabla)(E_b, E_c) - (\nabla_b \theta(Y)\nabla)(E_a, E_c), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\nabla_a = \nabla_{E_a}$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 [5] *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation of codimension q on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . If $\bar{Y} \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$ is a transversal conformal field, i.e., $\theta(Y)g_Q = 2f_Y g_Q$, then we have*

$$g_Q((\theta(Y)\nabla)(E_a, E_b), E_c) = \delta_b^c f_a + \delta_a^c f_b - \delta_a^b f_c, \quad (3.7)$$

$$g_Q((\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(E_a, E_b)E_c, E_d) = \delta_b^d \nabla_a f_c - \delta_b^c \nabla_a f_d - \delta_a^d \nabla_b f_c + \delta_a^c \nabla_b f_d, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\theta(Y)\sigma^\nabla = 2(q-1)(\Delta_B f_Y - \kappa_B^\sharp(f_Y)) - 2f_Y \sigma^\nabla, \quad (3.9)$$

where $f_a = \nabla_a f_Y$.

From (3.7), it is trivial that any transversal homothetic field is a transversal affine field. On the other hand, from (3.4) and (3.7), we have the following.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the same as in Lemma 3.1. If $\bar{Y} \in \bar{V}(\mathcal{F})$ is a transversal projective field, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(E_a, E_b)E_c &= (\nabla_a \alpha_Y)(E_b)E_c + (\nabla_a \alpha_Y)(E_c)E_b \\ &\quad - (\nabla_b \alpha_Y)(E_a)E_c - (\nabla_b \alpha_Y)(E_c)E_a. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, we define the operator $B_Y^\mu : \Gamma Q \rightarrow \Gamma Q$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{R}$) for any $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$B_Y = A_Y + A_Y^t + \mu \cdot \operatorname{div}_\nabla \bar{Y} \operatorname{id}. \quad (3.11)$$

It is well-known [9] that $\bar{Y}$ is transversal conformal (resp. transversal Killing) field if and only if $B_Y^{2/q} = 0$ (resp. $B_Y^0 = 0$). Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . If $\bar{Y}$ is transversal homothetic, i.e., $\operatorname{div}_\nabla \bar{Y}$ is constant, then*

$$\int_M g_Q(B_Y^\mu \bar{Y}, \kappa_B^\sharp) = \operatorname{div}_\nabla \bar{Y} \left(\mu - \frac{2}{q} \operatorname{div}_\nabla \bar{Y} \right) \operatorname{vol}(M). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. From (3.1) and the transversal divergence theorem, the proof follows. $\square$

Now, we recall the following relationships among infinitesimal automorphisms on a Riemannian foliation.

Proposition 3.4 [5] *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Then,*

- (1) *Any transversal Killing field is a transversal affine field.*
- (2) *Any transversal affine field with $\int_M g_Q(B_Y^0 \bar{Y}, \kappa^\sharp) = 0$ is a transversal Killing field.*
- (3) *Any transversal conformal field (or projective field) $\bar{Y}$ with the properties*

$$(i) \int_M g_Q(B_Y^0 \bar{Y}, \kappa^\sharp) \geq 0, \quad (ii) \ d_B \operatorname{div}_\nabla \bar{Y} = 0$$

is a transversal Killing field.

Note that on $\mathcal{F}$ with a constant transversal scalar curvature σ^∇ , if $\mathcal{F}$ admits a transversal conformal field $\bar{Y}$ with $f_Y \neq 0$, then σ^∇ is non-negative ([5], Corollary 5.6). Equivalently, on $\mathcal{F}$ with a negative constant σ^∇ , there is no non-isometric transversal conformal field. Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be Riemannian foliation of codimension q on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Assume that the transversal scalar curvature σ^∇ is negative constant. Then any transversal conformal field is a transversal Killing field.*

Theorem 3.6 [6] *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q and a bundle-like metric g_M . Assume that the transversal Ricci curvature ρ^∇ is non-positive and negative at some point. Then*

- (1) *there are no transversal Killing fields on M*
- (2) *if $\delta_B \kappa_B = 0$, then there are no transversal conformal fields.*

Remark. From Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, it is well-known that on a transversally Einstein foliation with negative scalar curvature, there are no transversal conformal fields without the condition $\delta_B \kappa_B = 0$. For more relations among infinitesimal automorphisms on a Riemannian foliation, see [5,11].

4 Transversal conformal and projective field on Kähler foliations

Now, we study the infinitesimal automorphisms on Kähler foliations. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m$ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) [10]. Note that for any $X, Y \in \Gamma Q$,

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g_Q(X, JY) \quad (4.1)$$

defines a basic 2-form Ω , which is closed, where $J : Q \rightarrow Q$ is an almost complex structure on Q . Then we have

$$\Omega = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{2m} \theta^a \wedge J\theta^a, \quad (4.2)$$

where θ^a is a dual form of E_a . Moreover, we have the following identities:

$$R^\nabla(X, Y)J = JR^\nabla(X, Y), \quad R^\nabla(JX, JY) = R^\nabla(X, Y) \quad (4.3)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma Q$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m$ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) and let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversal conformal field, i.e., $\theta(Y)g_Q = 2f_Y g_Q$. Then we have*

$$\Delta_B f_Y - \kappa_B^\sharp(f_Y) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, if M is compact, then f_Y is constant, i.e., $\bar{Y}$ is transversal homothetic.

Proof. Let f_Y be a basic function with $\theta(Y)g_Q = f_Y g_Q$. Fix $x \in M$ and let $\{E_a\}$ be a local orthonormal basic frame such that $(\nabla E_a)_x = 0$. Then, at x , we have from (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2m} g_Q((\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(E_a, E_b)E_a, E_b) &= 2q \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(f_Y) \\ \sum_{a,b=1}^{2m} g_Q((\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(JE_a, JE_b)E_a, E_b) &= 2 \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(f_Y). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.3), we have

$$2(q-1) \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(f_Y) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Since $q > 1$, $\sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(f_Y) = 0$. Hence $\Delta_B f_Y = \kappa_B^\sharp(f_Y)$, which proves (4.4). Moreover, if M is compact, by Lemma 2.2, f_Y is constant. $\square$

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Then any transversal conformal field is a transversal affine field.*

Proof. Let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversal conformal field such that $\theta(Y)g_Q = f_Y g_Q$. By Proposition 4.1, f_Y is constant. Therefore, from Lemma 3.1 (3.7), $\theta(Y)\nabla = 0$. So $\bar{Y}$ is the transversal affine field. $\square$

Remark. On a compact Kähler manifold, any conformal field is always a Killing field, because any affine field is a Killing field [13]. For the foliated manifold, this does not hold because of Proposition 3.3 (2). In fact, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Assume that the transversal scalar curvature σ^∇ is non-zero constant. Then any transversal conformal field is a transversal Killing field.*

Proof. Let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversal conformal field such that $\theta(Y)g_Q = f_Y g_Q$. Since $\sigma^\nabla \neq 0$ is constant, from Lemma 3.1 (3.9) and Proposition 4.1, $f_Y = 0$. Therefore, $\bar{Y}$ is a transversal Killing field. $\square$

Now we study the transversal projective field on a Kähler foliation. From Lemma 3.2, we have the following.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m(m \geq 2)$ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) and let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversal projective field. Then we have*

$$\Delta_B g_Y - \kappa_B^\#(g_Y) = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where $g_Y = \text{div}_\nabla(\bar{Y})$. If M is compact, then g_Y is constant.

Proof. Let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversal projective field. Let $\{E_a\}$ be a local orthonormal basic frame such that $(\nabla E_a)_x = 0$ at $x \in M$. Then, from Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q+1)(\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(E_a, E_b)E_c &= E_a E_b (\text{div}_\nabla \bar{Y})E_c + E_a E_c (\text{div}_\nabla \bar{Y})E_b \\ &\quad - E_b E_a (\text{div}_\nabla \bar{Y})E_c - E_b E_c (\text{div}_\nabla \bar{Y})E_a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q+1) \sum_{a,b=1}^{2m} g_Q((\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(E_a, E_b)E_a, E_b) &= (q-1) \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(f_Y) \\ (q+1) \sum_{a,b=1}^{2m} g_Q((\theta(Y)R^\nabla)(JE_a, JE_b)E_a, E_b) &= \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(f_Y). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.3), we have

$$(q-2) \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(\text{div}_\nabla \bar{Y}) = 0.$$

Since $q > 2$, we have $(\Delta_B - \kappa_B^\#)g_Y = \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a E_a(g_Y) = 0$. Moreover, if M is compact, by Lemma 2.2, g_Y is constant. $\square$

From Proposition 4.4, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m(m \geq 2)$ on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Then any transversal projective field is a transversal affine field.*

Proof. Let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversal projective field. From Proposition 4.4, $g_Y = \text{div}_\nabla \bar{Y}$ is constant. Hence, from (3.5), $\alpha_Y = 0$. From (3.4), $\bar{Y}$ is transversal affine. $\square$

From Proposition 3.3 (3), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m(m \geq 2)$ on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . If any transversal projective field $\bar{Y}$ satisfies $\int_M g_Q(B_Y^0 \bar{Y}, \kappa^\#) \geq 0$, then $\bar{Y}$ is a transversal Killing field.*

Remark. For a harmonic Kähler foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold, any transversal projective is a transversal Killing field. For the point foliation, any transversal affine field is a transversal Killing field [15]. So Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.5 are found in [13].

5 Transversally holomorphic fields

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m$ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Let Y be an infinitesimal automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$. Then a vector field $\bar{Y}$ is said to be a *transversally holomorphic field* if

$$\theta(Y)J = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

equivalently, for all $Z \in \Gamma L^\perp$

$$\nabla_{JZ} \bar{Y} = J \nabla_Z \bar{Y}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\{E_\alpha, JE_\alpha\}(\alpha = 1, \dots, m)$ be a local orthonormal basis of $\Gamma L^\perp$. Then we recall the following well-known facts.

Lemma 5.1 [10] *On a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m$, it holds that*

$$\rho^\nabla(X) = \sum_{a=1}^m JR^\nabla(E_a, JE_a)X. \quad (5.3)$$

Then we have the following facts on a harmonic foliation.

Theorem 5.2 [10] *On a harmonic Kähler foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a compact manifold (M, g_M) , the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) $\bar{Y}$ is transversally holomorphic, $\theta(Y)J = 0$,
- (2) $\bar{Y}$ is a transversal Jacobi field of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} \bar{Y} - \rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}) = 0$.

Next, we study the above relations on a non-harmonic Kähler foliation. In fact, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 *On a Kähler foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on (M, g_M) , $\bar{Y}$ is transversally holomorphic, i.e., $\theta(Y)J = 0$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} \bar{Y} - \rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}) + A_Y \kappa_B^\# = 0, \\ (ii) \quad & \int_M g_Q((\theta(Y)J) \kappa_B^\#, J\bar{Y}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\bar{Y}$ be transversally holomorphic, i.e., $\nabla_J Z \bar{Y} = J \nabla_Z \bar{Y}$ for any $Z \in \Gamma Q$. Then, by long calculation, we have

$$\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} \bar{Y} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m JR^\nabla(E_\alpha, JE_\alpha) \bar{Y} + \nabla_{\kappa_B^\#} \bar{Y}. \quad (5.4)$$

From (2.10) and (5.3), we have

$$\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} \bar{Y} - \rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}) + A_Y \kappa_B^\# = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Hence (i) and (ii) are proved. Conversely, by direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\theta(Y)J|^2 &= 2 \int_M g_Q(\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}} \bar{Y} - \rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}) + A_Y \kappa_B^\#, \bar{Y}) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_M \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a g_Q(\nabla_{E_a} \bar{Y} + J \nabla_{JE_a} \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose $X \in \Gamma Q$ by $g_Q(X, Z) = g_Q(\nabla_Z \bar{Y} + J\nabla_{JZ} \bar{Y}, \bar{Y})$ for any $Z \in \Gamma Q$. Then, by the transversal divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \sum_{a=1}^{2m} E_a g_Q(\nabla_{E_a} \bar{Y} + J\nabla_{JE_a} \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}) &= \int_M \operatorname{div}_\nabla(X) \\ &= \int_M g_Q(\nabla_{\kappa_B^\#} \bar{Y} + J\nabla_{J\kappa_B^\#} \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_M |\theta(Y)J|^2 = \int_M g_Q(\nabla_{\operatorname{tr}}^* \nabla_{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{Y} - \rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}) + A_Y \kappa_B^\#, \bar{Y}) + \int_M g_Q((\theta(Y)J)\kappa_B^\#, J\bar{Y}).$$

Hence the converse is proved. $\square$.

Remark. The solution of $\nabla_{\operatorname{tr}}^* \nabla_{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{Y} - \rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}) + A_Y \kappa_B^\# = 0$ appears as the kernel of the transversal Jacobi operator J_{id}^T of the identity map [4].

Moreover, on non-harmonic Kähler foliations on compact manifolds, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Kähler foliation on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) . Assume that the transversal Ricci operator is non-positive and negative at some point. Then every infinitesimal automorphism Y with a transversally holomorphic field $\bar{Y}$ satisfies $Y \in \Gamma L$, i.e., $\bar{Y} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{Y}$ be a transversally holomorphic field. Then, by Theorem 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_B |\bar{Y}|^2 &= 2g_Q(\nabla_{\operatorname{tr}}^* \nabla_{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}) - 2|\nabla_{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{Y}|^2 \\ &= 2g_Q(\rho^\nabla(\bar{Y}), \bar{Y}) - 2|\nabla_{\operatorname{tr}} \bar{Y}|^2 + \kappa_B^\# |\bar{Y}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the transversal Ricci curvature ρ^∇ is non-positive, we have $(\Delta_B - \kappa_B^\#)|\bar{Y}|^2 \leq 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $|\bar{Y}|$ is constant. Moreover, since ρ^∇ is negative at some point, $\bar{Y}$ is zero, i.e., Y is tangential to $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

Remark. In [10], S. Nishikawa and P. Tondeur proved Theorem 5.4 when the foliation is minimal.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0021005)

References

- [1] J.A.Alvarez López, *The basic component of the mean curvature of Riemannian foliations*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 10(1992), 179-194.
- [2] D. Domínguez, *A tenseness theorem for Riemannian foliations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. I 320(1995), 1331-1335.
- [3] S. D. Jung, *Eigenvalue estimates for the basic Dirac operator on a Riemannian foliation admitting a basic harmonic 1-form*, J. Geom. Phys. 57(2007), 1239-1246.
- [4] S. D. Jung, *The second variation of the transversally harmonic maps*, preprint.
- [5] M. J. Jung and S. D. Jung, *Riemannian foliations admitting transversal conformal fields*, Geometriae Dedicata 133(2008), 155-168.
- [6] S. D. Jung and K. Richardson, *Transverse conformal Killing forms and a Gallot-Meyer Theorem for foliations*, arXiv:0805.4187v1.
- [7] S. D. Jung, K. R. Lee and K. Richardson, *Generalized Obata theorem and its applications on foliations*, arXiv:0908.4545v1
- [8] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Harmonic foliations*, Proc. National Science Foundation Conference on Harmonic Maps, Tulance, Dec. 1980, Lecture Notes in Math. 949, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982, 87-121.

- [9] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliations*, Tôhoku Math. J. 34(1982), 525-538.
- [10] S. Nishikawa and Ph. Tondeur, *Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms for harmonic Kähler foliations*, Tohoku Math. J. 40(1988), 599-611.
- [11] J. S. Pak and S. Yorozu, *Transverse fields on foliated Riemannian manifolds*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 25(1988), 83-92.
- [12] E. Park and K. Richardson, *The basic Laplacian of a Riemannian foliation*, Amer. J. Math. 118(1996), 1249-1275.
- [13] Y. Tashiro, *On conformal and projective transformations in Kählerian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. 14(1962), 317-320.
- [14] Ph. Tondeur, *Foliations on Riemannian manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1988.
- [15] K. Yano, *On harmonic and Killing vector fields*, Ann. of Math. 55(1952), 38-45.

Department of Mathematics and Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea
e-mail: sdjung@jejunu.ac.kr